Seattle Arts Commission

305 Harrison Seattle, WA 98109 (206)625-4223

Karen Gates, Executive Secretary

Cityof Seattle: Charles Royer, Mayor

Commissioners

Mark B. Levine Chairperson

Lorna Richards

Vice-Chairperson

Bob Camuso Michele Durkson Clise

Anne Gerber

Mea Hartman Anne G. Hauberg

Norman Hoagy

Peter LeSourd

Joyce Moty Lawney Reyes

Jerome Sanford Norie Sato

Virginia Warlick Judith A. Whetzel

-

Former Commissioners

M. J. Alhadeff Ellsworth C. Alvord, Jr. Julie Anderson Mrs. Frederic J. Blanchett Richard Blessing Mrs. John Bowen Dr. Stanley Chapple Irving M. Clark Jr. Anne Focke Donald I Foster Solomon Katz Martha Kingsbury Christopher Kirk H. Dewayne Kreager Tommer Peterson Mrs. K.A. Sanwick, Jr. Paul E.S. Schell Floyd Standifer, Jr. Samuel N. Stroum Bernie Whitebear Mrs. Bagley Wright Howard S. Wright

September 27, 1979

Ms. Kathy Gauss
Photography Survey Program
Visual Arts Program
National Endowment for the Arts
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dear Kathy:

Enclosed is a copy of our application for a 1980 Photography Survey grant submitted to the NEA Grants Office. Our selection panel will be meeting either November 19 or 20. I will send you the names of the selected photographers immediately thereafter.

One question came up concerning the statement, "photographs ... should be archivally preserved in an appropriate regional institution." As you know, through the 1% for Art Program we have developed an extensive collection of artwork which is exhibited in a variety of public spaces in Seattle. The photographs from the 1980 project would join those from this year's project as part of our "Portable Works Collection." This collection of small works is on display in public buildings ranging from the City Hall to Opera House. Periodically, works are rotated to different locations. Sites are selected with care for their suitability in showing the work to advantage, safety, and with the intent to reach the widest audience. All storage, transportation and installation is taken care of by a professional art-handling service under archival standards. I would like to assure the panel that although the display sites are somewhat unusual, the greatest care is taken to preserve and protect the artwork while allowing the maximum access to the public.

If I may provide any additional information, please let me know.

Best regards,

Richard Andrews, Project Manager Art in Public Places

RA: km

Enclosure

Organization Grant Application National Endowment for the Arts

Applications must be submitted in triplicate and mailed to the Grants Office (Mail stop 500), National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506

- I. Applicant organization (name and address with zip)
- II. Visual Arts Program Category under which support is requested

III. Period of support requested

Seattle Arts Commission 305 Harrison Street Seattle, WA 98109

Starting 5 1 80 Ending 4 31 81 month day year

IV. Summary of project description

In our 1979 "Photography and the City" project, the Seattle Arts Commission, through the 1% for Art program, commissioned four photographers (Anthony Hernandez, Ford Gilbreath, John Gossage and Thomas Tredway) to document aspects of Seattle's urban environment during a one-month residency. Based on the positive results of this pilot project, the Seattle Arts Commission seeks to undertake a more comprehensive and expanded Photography Survey project for 1980. Five photographers would participate in the project during a residency of up to five weeks. The geographical limits would be increased to include the entire State of Washington. The expanded project will provide an opportunity for a thorough investigation of this region, providing a vastly larger range of social and physical landscapes. Possible sites would include major urban areas, rural agricultural communities, diverse Native American populations, heavily forested areas west of the Cascade Mountains, and a dry, plains environment east of the Cascades.

The character of the region is so complex, no single thematic concern will be assigned to each photographer. The project will provide an opportunity for individual photographers to examine and interact with this unique environment, evolving a specific approach and thematic concern after a period of exploration. Under the broad umbrella of a photography survey of the Northwest lie a number of possibilities, e.g., the examination of the Northwest as a "most livable" urban area, a survey of regional industry (Boeing, timber, hydro-electric power, aluminum manufacturing), the exploration of an urban/agricultural area undergoing rapid growth, or a documentation (see atachment)

V. Estimated number of persons expected to benefit from this project 85,000/year (employees and public)

VI. Summary of estimated costs (recapitul A. Direct costs Salaries and wages	lation of budget items in Section IX)	Total costs of project (rounded to nearest ten dollars) \$ 5,050
Fringe benefits Supplies and materials Travel		1,010 2,000 14,750
Special	Total di	13,250 irect costs s_36,060
B. Indirect costs		s ject costs \$

VII. Total amount requested from the National Er	dowment for the Arts	\$ 15.000
VIII. Organization total fiscal activity	Actual most recent fiscal period	Estimated for next fiscal period
A. Expenses	1. \$	2. \$ 1,324,820
B. Revenues, grants & contributions	. 1. \$1,324,820	2. \$ 1.324.820

Do not write in this space

Evaluation of prior year(s)' projects

1 2 3 4

Pys \$

Cps \$_

udit report

1 2

Summary of project description, cont'd

Seattle Arts Commission 305 Harrison St. Seattle, WA 98109

Photography Survey application

of altered landscapes (Columbia River, industrial parks, Hanford Nuclear Plant).

A selection panel (Carole Kismaric, Managing Editor, Aperture Magazine; Ray Meuse, photographer and President of Seattle Art Museum Photography Council; and Terry Toedtemeier, photographer and co-founder of Blue Sky Gallery, Portland, Oregon) will meet to recommend five photographers for the project. The photographers will be paid a fee of \$2,500 plus all expenses including round-trip airfare, travel, lodging and food within the State as well as film and darkroom expenses during the residency. The minimum residency will be four weeks with an extension to five weeks if desired. Each photographer will submit a minimum of ten archivally matted photographs prior to April 30, 1981. Two copies of each photograph will be required.

The set of photographs to remain in Seattle will be framed and included in our permanent collection of artwork. The work will be shown in selected locations within public buildings in Seattle. Specific sites are carefully chosen for their appropriateness as exhibition areas and to allow the maximum public access to the work. All handling and storage of artwork is handled by a professional curatorial service.

It is our intention to publish the results of the 1980 survey in a high-quality book format. In addition, discussions are underway to explore the possibility of traveling the exhibition to a number of regional art museums.

The Seattle Arts Commission Art in Public Places Program has received the following previous support from the NEA Visual Arts Program:

1979	APP	grant	(Ronald Bladen sculpture)	\$40,000
			(Lloyd Hamrol sculpture)	\$10,000
			(Michael Heizer sculpture)	\$20,000
1975	APP	grant	(Tony Smith sculpture)	\$20,000

And the second s

the state of the s

of personnel	Number of personnel	Annual or average salary range	% of time devoted to this project	Amount \$
Coordinator	1	20,000	5%	1,000
Project Manager	1	17,000	15%	2,550
Admin. Asst.	1	10,000	15%	1,500
		7	otal salaries and wages Add fringe benefits	\$ 5,050 1,010
		Total salaries and wages i	ncluding fringe benefits	\$ 6,060
2. Supplies and materials	(list each major	type separately)		Amount \$
film and suppl	ies for wor	k in State (5 x \$4	00)	2,000
White tested to be a few and the second				2 000
3. Travel		Total	supplies and materials	\$ _2,000
3. Travel			supplies and materials	\$
3. Travel Transportation of personn	nel		supplies and materials	
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers	iel	from		Amount \$
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers	oundtrip ai	from rfare to Seattle	to	
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers	oundtrip ai	from rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi	to	Amount \$ 2,000
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers	oundtrip ai car rental	from rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi	to	Amount \$ 2,000
3. Travel Fransportation of personn No. of travelers 5	oundtrip ai car rental	from rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi	n Wash. State	Amount \$ 2,000 4,000
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers 5 r 5 (oundtrip ai car rental	rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi	n Wash. State	Amount \$ 2,000 4,000
3. Travel Fransportation of personn No. of travelers 5 r 5 (oundtrip ai car rental	from rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi Total trans No. of days 35 (average)	n Wash. State sportation of personnel Daily rate	Amount \$ 2,000 4,000 \$ 6,000
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers 5	oundtrip ai car rental	from rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi Total trans No. of days 35 (average)	n Wash. State sportation of personnel Daily rate	Amount \$ 2,000 4,000 \$ 6,000
3. Travel Transportation of personn No. of travelers 5	oundtrip ai car rental	from rfare to Seattle and mileage) withi Total trans No. of days 35 (average)	n Wash. State sportation of personnel Daily rate	Amount \$ 2,000 4,000 \$ 6,000

And the first the state of the

IX. Budget breakdown of summary of estimated costs

4. Permanent equipment (list each item separately)	Amount
	\$
Total special	\$
5. Other (list each item separately)	
This section must be completed on every application.	Amount
D1 - 1 - 1 - 5 - 75 - 40 - 500 5	\$ 12.500
Photographer's fee (5 x \$2,500)	750
Selection panel fees and expenses	750
Total other	, 13,250
B. Indirect costs Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency	s and the Human
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art	
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency	Amount
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$3,630 Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the Art another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$

d. Stat	e Arts Agency notification he National Endowment for the Arts urges you to inform your State Arts Agency of the fact that you are submitting this pplication.
	ave you done so? Yes No
I. Cer	tification
We	certify that the information contained in this and in the continued in the continued in the contained in the continued in the
and	certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and supporting materials, is true correct to the best of our knowledge.
	horizing official(s)
5	Signature / Wien Olypte Date signed 9-28-79
1	Name (print or type) Karen A. Gates
	Fitle (print or type) Executive Secretary
-	Telephone (area code) (206) 625-4223
	Signature Brain D. Johnson Date signed 9/28/79
	Name (print or type)Brian D. Johnson
	Title (print or type) Assistant Director
	Telephone (area code) (206) 625-4223
	totophione (area code)
	Signature Marcia D. Wagoner Name (print or type) Marcia D. Wagoner Title (print or type) Coordinator, Art in Public Places Telephone (area code) (206) 625-4223 Ayee (to whom grant payments will be sent if other than authorizing official) Signature Date signed Date signed
	Name (print or type)
	Title (print or type)
-	Telephone (area code)
	If payment is to be made to anyone other than the grantee, it is understood that the grantee is financially, administratively and programmatically responsible for all aspects of the grant and all reports must be submitted through the grantee.
	Check List
	1. Have you attached a copy of your organization's Federal Tax exemption letter or a document identifying the organization as a part of State or local government?
	2. Have you summarized the project description in the space provided?
	3. Have you completed the summary of estimated cost on page 1, also provided all detail required on pages 2 and 3, and attached all documentation required to substantiate proposed travel cost, purchase of equipment, and indirect cost?
	4. Have you provided required detail under Other Support section?
	5. Has the application been signed and dated in appropriate places?
	6. Have you filed an Assurance of Compliance form?
	A negative response to any of the above questions will cause delay in the consideration of this application and will increase the cost of processing.

Addendum

Travel costs

roundtrip coach airfare estimated at an average of \$400 per photographer

 $5 \times $400 = $2,000$

Car rental estimated at \$500/car Gas and mileage expenses at \$.26/mile Assume average mileage of 1,150 miles

1,150 miles x \$.26/mile = \$299(round to \$300)

 $5 \times (\$500 + \$300) = \$4,000$

Seattle Arts Commission

305 Harrison Seattle, WA 98109 (206)625-4223

Karen Gates, Executive Secretary

Cityof Seattle: Charles Royer, Mayor

Commissioners

Mark B. Levine Chairperson

Lorna Richards Vice-Chairperson

Bob Camuso
Michele Durkson Clise
Anne Gerber
Mea Hartman
Anne G. Hauberg
Norman Hoagy
Peter LeSourd
Joyce Moty
Lawney Reyes
Jerome Sanford
Norie Sato
Virginia Warlick

Former

Judith A. Whetzel

Commissioners

M. J. Alhadeff Ellsworth C. Alvord, Jr. Julie Anderson Mrs. Frederic J. Blanchett Richard Blessing Mrs. John Bowen Dr. Stanley Chapple Irving M. Clark Jr. Anne Focke Donald I. Foster Solomon Katz Martha Kingsbury Christopher Kirk H. Dewayne Kreager Tommer Peterson Mrs. K.A. Sanwick, Jr. Paul E.S. Schell Floyd Standifer, Jr. Samuel N. Stroum Bernie Whitebear Mrs. Bagley Wright Howard S. Wright

November 29, 1979

Ms. Kathy Gauss
Photography Surveys
National Endowment for the Arts
2401 E Street
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dear Kathy:

On November 19 and 20, the selection panel for our Photo Survey 1980 project (Carole Kismaric, Ray Meuse, and Terry Toedtemeier) met to review portfolios submitted by photographers. Approximately 120 portfolios were received for this project. Five photographers were selected by the panel:

Larry Fink (Martins Creek, PA)
Lee Friedlander (New City, NY)
Tod Gangler (Seattle, WA)
Ingeborg Gerdes (San Francisco, CA)
Emmet Gowin (Newton, PA)

Enclosed are slides and/or prints of recent work by Tod Gangler and Ingeborg Gerdes. Gangler's color works are 12" x 16" color carbon prints printed in France by Michel Fresson. You mentioned that the NEA already has examples of the other photographers' work.

As can be seen in our budget breakdown, we have assumed a full match of \$15,000 for the fees and expenses of the five photographers. Neither the Seattle Arts Commission nor our selection panel recommends eliminating one or more photographers in the event a full match is not received. Should the NEA approve the project, but be unable to allocate a full match, we would attempt to compensate for a minor reduction by eliminating some of the expenses to be picked up by the project. The specific expenses to be eliminated would be decided upon after discussions with the five photographers. A major reduction in the funds available would necessitate either a drastic alteration in the project's scope or its cancellation.

Please let me know if you or the panel require any further information.

Best regards,

Richard Andrews, Project Manager

Art in Public Places

[&]quot;. . . to promote and encourage public programs to further the development and public awareness of and interest in the fine and performing area City Ordinance 99982, 1 June, 1971.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS



A Federal agency advised by the National Council on the Arts

250,75

RECEIVED

February 12, 1980

EB 1 8 1980

SEATTLE ARTS CO ISSION

Brian D. Johnson Assistant Director Seattle Arts Commission 305 Harrison Street Seattle, WA 98109

Dear Brian Johnson:

I am pleased to inform you that the Photography Survey Advisory Panel and the National Council on the Arts have recommended a grant of \$9,000 to the Seattle Arts Commission for support of your photography survey project.

This notification is only to assist you in planning. As it is not an official grant letter, no public announcement of this grant should be made until after you have received the official grant award letter. I expect that they will be sent out in April.

This year there were 45 applications for surveys, of which 13 were funded. The panelists were: Robert Fichter, photographer and Assistant Professor of Art, Florida State University; Frank Gohlke, photographer, Minneapolis; Nathan Lyons, photographer and Director of the Visual Studies Workshop, Rochester; and Ellen Manchester, Director of Photography, Sun Valley Center for the Arts and Humanities, Sun Valley, Idaho.

I hope that this informal notification will assist you in preparation and in obtaining additional financial support to complete the project.

Sincerely,

Kathleen M. Gauss

Photography Coordinator

Visual Arts Program

MATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

WASHINGTOR D.C. 20506



A Federal agency advised by the National Council on the Arts

RECEIVED

197

February 12, 1980

. 13 1 8 1980

SEATTLE ARTS SSION

MEMORANDUM

To:

Photography Survey Grantees

From:

Kathy Gauss, Photography Coordinator

Visual Arts Program

Subject: Revised Project Budgets for Photography Surveys

Because of limited funds, the advisory panel was not able to recommend support of your project at the level you had requested. However, if you still believe that the project can be undertaken, even with reduced Endowment support, please fill out the attached Revised Budget Form and return it within two weeks in the self-addressed envelop. Grants cannot be processed until we receive your new budget. Ordinarily, it takes about two months to process grants once we receive the Revised Budget, so it is to your advantage to return the form as soon as possible.

Please bear in mind that matching funds may be estimated; they do not have to be commitments, or in hand. You must have received them, though, by the end of the grant period.

If there is to be any significant change in the scope of your project, please submit a new project description along with the budget fully describing all phases of the survey.

I am enclosing, for your information, a copy of the final reporting requirements for Survey grants that we have used for the past two years. Although you will receive another copy with the grant award letter, I would like to call your attention to the kind of information we would appreciate at the end of the grant.

This year the Survey Panel recommended that we change the Final Report Requirement that prints are to be submitted to the Endowment. Instead, contact sheets and working journals are to be submitted at the end of the project.

This suggestion was made because they felt that the national repository should have a broader range of material than a small number of exhibition prints and the emphasis should be on archival information, rather than art objects. However, since we have changed this requirement mid-stream on you, as it were, you may submit either an edited version of prints, or contacts from the project. Please give this some thought and at some later point we will work out the details.

Attachments

MATIONAL ENDOWMENT D.C. 20506 FOR THE ARTS

WASHIDGEOU



A Federal agency advised by the National Council on the Arts

Honorable Charles Royer Mayor of the City of Seattle Seattle, WA 98109

Dear Mayor Royer:

It is a pleasure to inform you that Grant 02-4147-323 through the Visual Arts Program in the amount of \$9,000 is awarded to the City of Seattle for the Seattle Arts Commission. Grant funds are to support a photography survey of Seattle and the surrounding area as outlined in your application (A004242-80) dated September 28, 1979. The grant starting date is May 1, 1980 and the grant ending date is November 30, 1981.

The enclosed "Special Terms for Grants from the National Endowment for the Arts" specifies the Endowment's regulations, procedures and other requirements and references the Federal Management and/or Office of Management and Budget Circulars which apply to this grant. Please read them carefully. Special Reporting Requirements, if any, are also enclosed.

The Endowment is pleased to be able to support your efforts.

Sincerely,

Livingston L. Biddle, Jr.

Enclosures

mmY 2 9 1980

SEATTLE APTS CITY OF SE - -

Project Budget

National Endowment for the Arts



Grant No. 02-4147- 323

Grant Period 5/1/80

to 11/30/81

Important Instructions

The budget set out below is derived from your application, revised budget and/or other correspondence. Expenditures on this project should be in general agreement with the budget. Deviation without prior NEA written approval will be limited to the standards set forth in the grant and the provisions of Circulars A-110 or A-102, whichever is applicable. NEA's obligation will not be increased by any of the above unless specifically agreed to in writing.

Contributions	Cash \$_ In-Kind _	5,460 *		
		TOTAL Contributions	\$_5,460	
Grants	NEA \$. Other	9,000 TOTAL Grants	\$	
Revenues			\$ 18,000	
*Salaries and Reference: 2/20/80 Rev			GRAND TOTAL (Contributions, Grants, Revenues)	
Expenses				
Direc	t Costs			
Salaries &		4,550	\hat{g}	
	benefits	910	ē	
Supplies & M		1,000		
	Travel Special	11,701		
	Other	14,019		
		TOTAL Direct	\$_32,460	•5
Indirec	ct costs	•		
		TOTAL Allowable Project Costs		
Unallo	owables			
	Salar F	*	_	
		TOTAL Unallowables	\$	
			TOTAL of Allowable &	\$32,460
			Unallowable Project Costs	

	Amount
	\$
Total special	\$
5. Other (list each item separately)	
This section must be completed on every application.	Amount
	\$
Photographer's fee (5 x \$2,500)	12,500
Selection panel fees and expenses	750
	, 13,250
Total other	\$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency	rts and the Humanities
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A	
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630 Amount \$ \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$ 3,630 \$ 3,630 Amount \$ \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$
Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with National Foundation on the A another Federal agency Rate	Amount \$

Allerton and the last of the l

CONFIDENTIAL MEMORANDUM

REVISED BUDGET

Photography Surveys Application #A-004242-80

IMPORTANT

YOUR APPLICATION TO THE NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS (NEA) HAS BEEN TENTATIVELY RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$ 9,000 TO BE APPLIED TO THAT SECTION INDICATED ON YOUR PROJECT DESCRIPTION ON THE ATTACHED APPLICATION. PROJECT REVISIONS MAY BE EITHER INCREASES IN
PRIVATE FUNDING, REDUCTION IN PROJECT COSTS, OR BOTH. IF PROJECT COSTS ARE REDUCED, THE PERCENTAGE
OF NEA SUPPORT OF THE REVISED PROJECT COSTS SHOULD NOT EXCEED THE PERCENTAGE OF NEA SUPPORT REQUESTED
IN THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION. PROPOSED PROJECT COSTS MUST BE BALANCED BY ANTICIPATED CONTRIBUTIONS
AS SHOWN ON PAGE 3, SECTION X, AND THE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT SHOWN ABOVE. TO EXPEDITE PROCESSING,
PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN WITH ORIGINAL APPLICATION WITHIN 10 DAYS. DIRECT ALL QUESTIONS
TO NEA GRANTS OFFICE (202) 634 4216 NO PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE RECOMMENDED FUNDING OR LEGAL
COMMITMENTS ARE TO BE MADE UNTIL YOU HAVE RECEIVED A GRANT LETTER.

tle Arts Commission	START	5	1	80	END	11	30	81
		MONTH	DAY	YEAR		MONTH	DAY	YEAR
. ESTIMATED NUMBER OF PERSONS EXP	EGTED TO BENEFIT FROM THE	S PROJECT						
A. DIRECT COSTS	TITULATION OF BUDGET ITEMS BE	LOW				(rounded t	osts of P o nearest te 5 , 460	m dollars)
SALARIES & WAGES						\$	0,400	
FRINGE BENEFITS							0000	
SUPPLIES & MATERIALS							1,000	
TRAVEL						11	,981	
SPECIAL							0	
OTHER						14	,019	
	TOTAL DIR	ECT COSTS				s 32	460	
B. INDIRECT COSTS								
	TOTAL PRO	JECT COST	s			s 32	2,460	
II. TOTAL AMOUNT RECOMMENDED						. 9.	000	

IX. BUDGET BREAKDOWN OF TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS OF PROJECT AS SUMMARIZED ABOVE

1. Salaries and Wages

of Personnel Coordinator	No. of Personnel	Salary Range \$ 20,000	% of Time Devoted to this Project 5%	s 1,000
Project Mgr.	_1_	17,000	15%	2,550
Admin. Asst.	_1_	10,000	10%	1,000
	·			
		Total Salaries and Wages		4. 550
		add frings benefits		s 910
		Total Salaries and Wages including fringe	e benefits	s 5,460

8.	Rate established by assessed			
	Rate established by attached rate negotiation agreement with Federal agency			Amount
	Rate% Base S			£
	INDIRECT COST RATE NEGOTIATION AGREEME	NT MUST BE ATTACHED		
X. CO!	Contributions			
	1. Cash (do not include direct donations to NEA)			Armount
				s
	2. In-kind Contributions (list each major item)			
	salaries picked up from 1% for Ar	t admin. fund	ls_	5,460
		Total Contributions		5.460
В.	Grants (do not list anticipated grant from NEA OT NEH)			Authorum:
		Total Grants		5
C.	Revenues			Amount
	City Light 1% for Art			18,000
		Total Revenues		18,000
	To	tal Contributions, Grants, and Rev	enues	23,460
XII.	ERTIFICATION			
	We certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certify that the information contained in this application, including all attachments and suthernoon of the certific that it is a superior of the c			
	Signature Smail & Janes			1980
	Telephone: AC 206 625/ 4223	month	day	Summer
	Signature	Date Signed		
	Telephone: AC	month	day	Acces
	ROJECT DIRECTOR (IF DIFFERENT FROM APPLICATION, DELE			CCOMPANY THE
	Signature Marcia De Pagones	Date Signed Feb.	20,	1980_
	Telephone: AC 206 625 4223/	month	Girt	N-MAN
•	AYEE (if other than authorizing offical) (to whom grant payments will be sent)			
	Signeture	Date Signed		
		month	dev	1980

7 T.

	Supplies and Materials (list each major type separately)		Amount			
	\$200 each	for photographic	supplies	1,000			
_							
_							
_							
_							
			Total Supplies and Materials	s			
3.	Travel						
	Tremportation of Perso	nnel					
	No. of Travelers	from	to	\$ Amount			
	3	New York City	Seattle	1,740			
	1	San Francisco	Seattle	226			
	4	Car rental	Seattle	1,900			
	5	Mileage	Washington State	625			
			Total transportation of personnel	s 4,491			
	Subsistence		4-14				
	No. of Travelers	no. of days	daily rate	•			
	4	35	\$50	7,000			
	1	35	\$14	490			
			Total Subsistence	s 7,490			
			Total Travel	s 11,981			
4	Special LIST ON	LY EQUIPMENT COSTING OVER	\$300 PER- ITEM IN THIS SECTION				
	believe de se de c			Amount			
£ 003	Market States						
	Marian et et e						
14			Total Special	\$			
	Other	(list each major type t	separately)				
5.				Amount			
5.			\$2.500)	12,500			
	nhotogranh	ere! foee (5 v	photographers' fees (5 x \$2,500)				
	selection	panel fees and ex		1,392			
	selection misc. cost	panel fees and ex		1,392			
	selection	panel fees and ex		1,392			
	selection misc. cost	panel fees and ex		1,392			
	selection misc. cost	panel fees and ex		1,392			
	selection misc. cost	panel fees and ex		1,392			

Mail directly to: Grants Office, Cash Request Section National Endowment for the Arts 2401 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20506

OMB No. 80-R0183

Request for Advance or Reimbursement

i reminuraement						
1. Federal Agency and Organizational			2. Federal Gr Identifying	ant No. or Other No.	02-4147-3	23
National Endowment Fo	4. Basis of Re	nort	5. Partia	5. Partial Payment Request		
a. ☐ Advance b. ★ Fin		☐ Cash	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Numbe		
Reimbursement			Accrued	Expenditures		
					month	
identification No.			From 5	day year	To 11	30 81
9. Name of Grantee Organization			10. Name of Pa	yee (If different from	m Item 9)	
Seattle Arts C	ommission					
Street Number and Name			Street Number a	and Name		
305 Harrison S	treet			Cto	10	Zip Code
Seattle, WA		2ip Code 98109	City	Sta	110	210 0000
11. Computation of Amount Rec	juested		Programs—I	Functions—Activ	ities	_
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
						Total
a. Total program outlays to date (As of date)	_ s	: 23,178	s	\$	s	, 23, 178
b. Less: Cumulative program income	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Net program outlays (Line a minus Line b)		23,178				23,178
d. Estimated net cash outlays for advance period		0				0
e. Total of Lines c and d		23,178				23,178
f. Non-Federal share of amount on Line e		14,178				14,178
g. Federal share of amount on		9,000				9,000
h. Federal payments previously requested		0				0
i. Federal share now requested (Line g minus Line h)		9,000				9,000
j. Monthly advance requirements: (1) 1st month						
(2) 2nd month		ATTS 160-				
(3) 3rd month						
12. Remarks (Attach additional	sheets if necessar	y)				
					<i>V</i>	
13. Certification	Mary Ma					
I certify that to the best of m	ny knowledge and	belief the data re	ported above	is correct and	that all outlave v	vere made in
for payment constitutes accep	ions and mai bay	ment is due and r	IAS DOT DOOD DI	FOULDILL V FOOLION	ad Cubaniania	
Omerar below must have auth	only to legally bil	nd the grantee org	anization.)	rated above. (111	e person signing	as authorizing
Signature of Authorized Certifying Office	ial				port Is Submitted	
Typed Name	Typed	1 Title		4/:	3/81	
Karen A. Gates	Area Code Number					
For Agency Use Only				206	625-42	23
Grants Office Reviewer			Grants Office Ap	oproval		
Approved (Init	C. ama Onice Ap	(Initials & date)				
Approved	Program Di	rector or Designee		Date App	proved	

Grants Office National Endowment for the Arts Grantee's Copy

Special Reporting Requirements

Photography Surveys

The Final Descriptive Report must be submitted to the Grants Office within 90 days after the termination of the grant period and must include two copies of a narrative report stating what was accomplished with the Endowment's support. This report should provide both a detailed account describing all phases of the project's development and an evaluation of the survey project. It is hoped that this report will serve as a guide to other organizations which might at a later date wish to achieve the same or similar goals.

It is suggested that the following topics be covered in the report, along with any other pertinent information regarding the survey project:

- (1) the scope of the survey and any special qualities of it;
- (2) the number of photographs taken and printed and the number of editions of the prints;
- (3) project personnel and brief qualifications or experience statements;
- (4) a discussion of any problems encountered during the project;
- (5) future plans for the material;
- (6) archival storage of material;
- (7) plans for exhibition or publication of the material;
- (8) availability of the material to scholars and the public;
- (9) any related activites or services sponsored in conjunction with the project;
- (10) the historical significance of the project or material;
- (11) trends, changes or lifestyles documented in the survey;
- (12) how the survey material relates to this decade and the near future;
- (13) specific geographic area documented in the project;
- (14) the reason or importance for conducting the survey at this time and how the survey is timely;
- (15) a discussion of the information revealed by the survey and its contribution to knowledge about the topic surveyed;
- (16) the uniqueness (if any) of the body of work accumulated in the survey;
- (17) any changes in the project from the initial proposal;
- (18) how others might undertake a similar project in other locations;
- (19) a discussion of fund raising efforts, problems and accomplishments;
- (20) community involvement in the project;
- (21) the effect (if any) of the project on the work of the personnel;
- (22) publicity efforts; and
- (23) the importance of the survey to the photography field.

In addition, one original copy of the final edited photography survey, clearly identified with the grant number, should be submitted with the Final Descriptive Report to the National Endowment for the Arts. One copy should also be submitted to the institution responsible for preserving the completed survey on the state or local level.

Mail directly to: Grants Office, Reports Section

Financial Status Report

National Endowment for the Arts

2401 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20506

OMB No. 80-R0180

1. Federal Agency and Organization Eleme National Endowment for the		bmitted	2. Federal Grant or Of Number	ther Identifying Page		3. Name of Recipient Organiza	tion	
4. Employer identification Number		int Number or Identifying		Report 7. Basis Casi	1 Pages	Street Number and Name 305 Harrison	City	
8. Project/Grant Period (month, day, year	PM I - "게임 II 및 BE - " - " - " - " - " - " - " - " - " -	9. Period	Covered by This Repo	ort (month, day, year)		State	Zip Code	
From 5/1/80	To 11/30/	81 From		To 6/30/	81	Washington	98109	
10. Programs—Functions—Activ	ities (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		(5) (6)		
							TOTAL	
a. Net outlays previously reported	123,178	\$	\$	8	s	\$	\$	
b. Total outlays this report period	3,368							
c. Less Program income credits	0	0	0	0		0 0	0	
 d. Net outlays this report period (Line b minus line c) 	3,368							
 Net outlays to date (Line a pus line d) 	26,546							
f. Less Non-Federal share of outlays	17,546							
g. Total Federal share of outlays (Line e minus line f)	9,000							
h. Total unliquidated obligations	0							
 Less Non-Federal share of unliquidated obligations shown on Line h. 	0							
 Federal share of unliquidated obligations 	0							
k. Total Federal share of outlays and unliquidated obligations	9,000							
I. Total cumulative amount of Federal funds authorized	9,000							
m. Unobligated balance of Federal funds	0							
11. Indirect Expenses		Predetermined		b. Rate	c. Base	d. Total Amount	e. Federal Share	
2. Remarks (attach additional sheet	s if necessary)							
3. Certification—I certify that to set forth in the grant award ing official must have the auth	documents. Submis	sion of this request	t constitutes accep	tance of all terms ar	nd conditions	ays and upaid obligations a of the grant. (The person	signing as authoriz-	
	Sig	nature of Authorized Ce	ertifying Da			Name and Title Te	lephone (Area code, mber and extension)	
For Agency Use Only								
Frants Office Reviewer		Grants Office Approval		(initials & date) P		Program Director or Designee		
(1)	nitials & date)		Grants Office			Caram Director of Designes	STANDARD FORM 269 (7-	

Grants Office National Endowment for the Arts

Grantee's Copy

STANDARD FORM 269 (7-76)
Prescribed by Office of
Management and Budget

Final Report

Seattle Arts Commission: Photography Survey 1980

The 1980 project was conceived as an opportunity for five photographers to document thematic aspects of their choosing within the State of Washington. The resulting photographic documentation would be added to a similar project conducted in 1979 within the City of Seattle. The photographers were selected by a selection panel of Carole Kismaric, editor, Aperture; Terry Toedtemeir, photographer; and Ray Meuse, photographer and president, Seattle Art Museum Photography Council. Over 120 photographers' porfolios were received. The panel sought to select a group of photographers whose past work indicated an ability to undertake a survey project and who as a group represented diverse approaches to the photographic process. Those selected were Larry Fink (Martins Creek, PA), Lee Friedlander (New City, NY), Tod Gangler (Seattle, WA), Ingeborg Gerdes (San Francisco, CA) and Emmet Gowin (Newton, PA).

During April - August 1980 each photographer had an extended residency in Washington State, averaging 33 days. Each photographer was also asked to participate informally in discussions with the Seattle Art Museum Photography Council to foster interest in the survey project and increase communication about photography. At the conclusion of the residency, each photographer returned to his/her studio to develop and edit the project prints. Each photographer was required to provide the Seattle Arts Commission with a duplicate set of 10 prints, archivally mounted and matted. Most photographers provided more than the minimum in order to present a complete series of their work.

One extraordinary event took place in Washington State which directly influenced the work of three of the photographers: the eruption of Mt. St. Helens on May 18. Emmet Gowin, Tod Gangler and Ingeborg Gerdes all directly or indirectly documented the effects of that event. Gowin and Gangler rented planes to obtain aerial views of the site and photographed the area for a number of weeks. Gowin produces a series of view camera prints solely of the mountain. These works are a unique historical resource in addition to being fine photographic images. Gangler did not use his images of the mountain in his final series, but has continued to work at the site. Ingeborg Gerdes, working in Eastern Washington, was surrounded by the evidence of the ash fallout and a number of her prints bear witness to the event.

Larry Fink had asked to work in the timber areas and we provided him with a contact in a small lumber community on the Olympic Peninsula. Fink lived and worked with the loggers during his entire stay and produced a large number of images which were finally distilled into a series of 12. Lee Friedlander worked in a variety of locations and provided us with a survey of "Roadside Foxglove", an unusual flower prevalent along the rural roads of Washington State.

All of the photographers expressed their satisfaction with the survey project and the resulting work. Larry Fink and Emmet

Final Report Seattle Arts Commission: Photography Survey 1980 page two

Gowin are still vitally interested in the subject matter they investigated and intend to return to the State to continue their work.

The work completed by the five photographers is being framed and will go on permanent, rotating, display in city-owned spaces. The works become part of our 1% for Art collection numbering over 450 works. All works receive professional archival care. We have arranged a group exhibition of all the work produced through the survey project at the University of Washington's Henry Art Gallery from September 10 - October 13, 1981.